

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 MARIE ENCAR ARNOLD,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 TRUMP LAS VEGAS SALES &
7 MARKETING, INC., et al.,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02239-APG-EJY

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 9]

9 On December 19, 2024, Magistrate Judge Youchah recommended that I dismiss plaintiff
10 Marie Arnold's amended complaint without prejudice to Arnold pursuing her breach of contract
11 and breach of good faith and fair dealing claims in state court. ECF No. 9. Arnold did not object.
12 Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28
13 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions
14 of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v.*
15 *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the
16 magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
17 otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

18 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Youchah's report and recommendation
19 **(ECF No. 9) is accepted**, and plaintiff Marie Arnold's amended complaint (ECF No. 4) is
20 dismissed without prejudice to Arnold pursuing her breach of contract and breach of good faith
21 and fair dealing claims in state court. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

22 DATED this 7th day of January, 2025.

23 

ANDREW P. GORDON
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE